

UPPER CLINTON SUBWATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN

Prepared by the Upper Clinton Subwatershed Core Group

For the communities of :

City of Auburn Hills, Brandon Township, City of the Village of Clarkston, Independence Township, City of Lake Angelus, Oakland County, Orion Township, City of Pontiac, Springfield Township, Waterford Township, and White Lake Township

**Revised
April 10, 2006**

Upper Clinton Subwatershed Management Plan

Prepared by the Upper Clinton Subwatershed Core Group, Secondary Partners, and Steering Committee:

Core Group:

City of the Village of Clarkston

Art Pappas, City Manager

City of Lake Angelus

George Frisch, Mayor

Bruce Kresge, Community Representative

Lee Embrey, Community Representative

Independence Township

Linda Richardson, Director, Department of Public Works

Orion Township

Beth McGuire, Zoning/Planning Administrator

Springfield Township

Nancy Strole, Clerk

Leon Genre, Planning and Building Director

Waterford Township

Rob Merinsky, Assistant Engineering Director

Stacy St. James, Environmental Coordinator

Oakland County

Jim Wineka, Director, Environmental Unit

Amy Ploof, Environmental Planner

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality

Marty Hedges, Senior Environmental Quality Analyst

Secondary Partners:

City of Auburn Hills

Shawn Keenan, Water Resources Coordinator

Brandon Township

Ron Lapp, Supervisor

Lois Robbins, Community Representative

City of Pontiac

Tony Dombrowski, Nowak & Fraus, PLLC

White Lake Township

Steve Rumble, Planning Director

Mike McAdams

Steering Committee:

Scott Meyland, City of Village of Clarkston, Council & ZBA
Member

Dan Travis, Independence Township Board Member

William Wilshire, Orion Township Board Member

Bill Ireland, Orion Township Department of Public
Works

Tom Berger, Orion Township Building Department

Dennis Griffin, Waterford Township Planning Commissioner

Collin Walls, Springfield Township Supervisor

Wes Goodman, Clarkston Community Schools

Craig Younkman, Orion Charter Schools

Tom Wiseman, Waterford School District

Rochelle Rubin, Waterford School District

Amy Mangus, Southeast Michigan Council of Governments

Jessica Opfer, Clinton River Watershed Council

Tracie Beasley, Clinton River Watershed Council

Nina Misuraca, Oakland County Planning & Economic
Development

Sue Malone, Oakland County Road Commission

Ron Kinney, Oakland County Road Commission

Karen Tauriainen, Oakland County Drain Commissioner's Office

Gary Frick, Oakland County Health Division

Jon Noyes, Oakland County Parks

JoAnn Van Tassel, Lake Orion Chamber of Commerce

Eddie Delbridge, Waterford Chamber of Commerce

Penny Shank, Clarkston Chamber of Commerce

Allen Schneck, Michigan Department of Transportation

The Subwatershed Group was assisted in this effort by:

Carlisle/Wortman Associates Inc.

605 S. Main Street, Suite 1

Ann Arbor, MI 48104

Hubbell, Roth & Clark

555 Hulet Drive

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303

Upper Clinton Subwatershed Management Plan

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Executive Summary

Chapter 2 Introduction

2.0	Purpose of the Plan	2-1
2.1	The Upper Clinton Subwatershed	2-1
2.2	Watershed Plan Participants	2-2
2.3	Watershed Plan Organization	2-3

Chapter 3 Watershed Analysis

3.0	Introduction	3-1
3.1	Growth Trends, Land Use Analysis and Community Profiles	3-1
3.2	Sanitary Sewer System and On-Site Sewage Disposal Systems .	3-13
3.3	Baseline Stream, Lake and Riparian Conditions	3-16
3.3.1	Water Chemistry	3-18
3.3.2	Biological Community.....	3-23
3.3.3	Hydrology and Physical Conditions	3-25
3.4	Environmental Context	3-30
3.4.1	Geology and Soils	3-30
3.4.2	Vegetation	3-31
3.4.3	High Quality Natural Communities and Unique Flora and Fauna.....	3-35
3.4.4	Wetlands, Woodlands and Riparian Corridors	3-37
3.5	Summary of Water Quality Impairments, Sources and Causes...	3-38
3.5.1	Bacteria	3-38
3.5.2	Hydrology	3-39
3.5.3	Nutrients.....	3-39
3.5.4	Sediments	3-40
3.6	Identification of Critical Areas	3-41

Chapter 4 Watershed Plan Goals & Objectives

4.0	Introduction	4-1
4.1	Determining Goals and Objectives for the Upper Clinton Subwatershed	4-1
4.2	Designated and Desired Uses of the Subwatershed.....	4-5
4.3	Upper Clinton Goals and Objectives	4-6

Chapter 5 Impervious Surface and Planning Analysis

5.0	Introduction	5-1
5.1	Impervious Surface Analysis	5-1
5.2	Checklist Evaluation	5-6
5.3	Planning Document Analysis	5-7
5.4	Planning Summary of the Subwatershed – Overall Challenges ..	5-31

Chapter 6 Watershed Action Plan

6.0	Introduction	6-1
6.1	Best Management Practices (BMPs)	6-1
6.2	Action Items to Address the Upper Clinton Subwatershed Goals and Objectives	6-5

Chapter 7 Action Matrix

7.0	Introduction	7-1
7.1	Evaluation Methods for Measuring Success	7-2
7.2	Action Matrix	7-3

Chapter 8 Appendix

8.0	February 1, 2005 Public Meeting Results by Group	8-1
8.1	Upper Clinton Subwatershed Existing and Potential Future Impervious Cover Analysis	8-9
8.2	Action Matrix	8-28

Tables

3.1	Community Area in Subwatershed	3-1
3.2	Population and Housing Profiles	3-4
3.3	2000 Existing Land Use Designations	3-6
3.4	Status of Sewer Systems within the Upper Clinton Subwatershed by Community ..	3-14
3.5	Summary of Recent Water Quality Data	3-19
3.6	MDNR Fish Stocking History in the Upper Clinton Subwatershed, 1994-2004.....	3-24
3.7	Freshwater Mussels in the Main Branch of the Upper Clinton	3-25
3.8	Threatened, Endangered and Special Concern Plants in the Subwatershed	3-35
3.9	Threatened, Endangered and Special Concern Animals in the Subwatershed	3-37
3.10	High Quality Natural Communities and Unique Geographic Features in the Subwatershed	3-37
3.11	Upper Clinton Subwatershed Pollutants, Sources and Causes	3-41

3.12	Upper Clinton Subwatershed Land Uses in Critical Areas.....	3-43
4.1	Water Resource Uses 8-24-04 Steering Committee Meeting	4-2
4.2	Goals per Group 2-1-05 Public Meeting.....	4-3
4.3	Relationship between Uses & Pollutants.....	4-5
4.4	Relationship between Goals & Uses.....	4-8
5.1	Stream Attributes According to the IC Model	5-2
5.2	Year 2000 and Potential Future IC Estimates of Catchments in the Upper Clinton Subwatershed	5-4
6.1	BMP Pollutant Removal Efficiencies	6-2
6.2	Location Parameters of Structural BMPs	6-3
7.1	Community Timeframes for Watershed Plan Actions.....	7-10

Figures

3.1	2000 Existing Land Use Designations.....	3-6
3.2	Sashabaw Creek Site, Average Daily Stream Flow, 1960-2003	3-26
3.3	Main Branch Clinton River Site, Average Daily Stream Flow, 1960-2003	3-26
3.4	April Average Daily Precipitation, 1960-1998	3-27
3.5	August Average Daily Precipitation, 1960-1998	3-28
3.6	Sashabaw Creek Site, Annual Peak Stream Flow, 1960-2002	3-29
3.7	Main Branch Clinton River Site, Annual Peak Stream Flow, 1960-2002.....	3-29
3.8	Dominant Soils in the Upper Clinton Subwatershed	3-31
3.9	Percentage of Vegetative Land Cover in the Subwatershed.....	3-34

Maps

1.	Clinton River Watershed and Subwatersheds.....	3-2
2.	Existing Land Use.....	3-7
3.	Sewer Service Areas	3-15
4.	Water Sampling Stations.....	3-17
5.	Hydrological Soil Groups	3-32
6.	Vegetative Land Cover	3-33
7.	MNFI Natural Areas	3-36
8.	Existing and Potential Areas of Critical Concern.....	3-44
9.	Catchments.....	5-3